

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,896	02/08/2002	Chih-Sheng Chang	CHAN3131/EM	8037
23364	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			HOM, SHICK C	
625 SLATE FOURTH FI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/067,896	CHANG ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Shick C. Hom	2666
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen 1) <mark>⊠</mark> Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1 line 25, the words "a data package" seem to refer back to the "data package" recited in claim 1 lines 10-11. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a data package" to ---the data package---. Claims 2-8 are objected to because they depend from objected claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 line 7 which recite "the network packet" lacks clear antecedent basis because no network packet have been previously recited in the claim and therefore the limitation is not clearly understood; further it is not clear as to whether it is reciting ---each of said network packets--- of claim 1 line 4. Likewise, claim 1 line 10 which recite "the received network packet" lacks clear antecedent basis and is not clear as to

Application/Control Number: 10/067,896

Art Unit: 2666

whether it is reciting ---each of said received network packets--- of claim 1 lines 3-4. In claim 1 line 24 which recite "the voice processing circuit" lacks clear antecedent basis. In claim 1 line 27 which recite "a network packet" is not clear as to whether it is reciting ---one of said network packets--- of claim 1 line 4 or ---a new network packet---. In claim 3 line 3 which recite "the received tokens" lacks clear antecedent basis. In claim 5 line 4 and claims 7, 8 lines 2-3, 3-4 which recite "at least one computer" and "the at least one computer" is not clear as to whether they're reciting the connected computer of claim 1 lines 27-28. Claims 2, 4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they depend from rejected claims 1 and 5.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2666

Lee et al. disclose a method and apparatus for digital cellular internet voice communications.

Dent et al. disclose a short-range radio communications system and method of use; in particular, see col. 1 line 56 to col. 2 line 24 which recite the data and voice traffic integrated telephone system; Fig. 1 and col. 5 lines 24-40 which recite the resource requesting units 16 buffering or temporarily storing data or voice traffic; col. 15 line 61 to col. 16 line 17 which recite the header being used to indicate the type of information being voice or data and means for determining the class of packet being voice or data and means for deciding how and where to route the information received and Fig. 1 which show the processing unit 15 and data being routed to a connected computer and voice being routed to a headset.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/067,896 Page 5

Art Unit: 2666

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

DANG TON